

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 10/814,787 04/01/2004 004539.00016 4970 Aharon Tavivian 7590 **EXAMINER** 22907 10/19/2004 **BANNER & WITCOFF** LE, HUYEN D 1001 G STREET N W ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1100** WASHINGTON, DC 20001 3751

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ω
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/814,787	TAVIVIAN, AHARON	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Huyen Le	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ap	oril 2004.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 12 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) $igtie$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 April 2004</u> is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to I	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	[7]		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/01/04.		atent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/814,787

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The invention provides," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tavivian (WO 01/17411 A1).

The Tavivian reference discloses a variable angle connector profile for connecting a plurality of enclosure panels comprising a first end segment 16 having a first open channel 18 sized for receiving a first enclosure panel 12, a flexible middle segment 20 attached to the first end segment 16, and a second end segment 22 having a second open channel 24 sized for receiving a second enclosure panel 12, all three segments being co-extruded as a single integral linear unit, the first and second end segments 16,22 including surfaces adapted to abut each other and to delimit the extent of the segments towards each other in a first sense of swivel from a linear alignment of 180 degrees to a substantially perpendicular alignment of about 90 degrees, wherein

Application/Control Number: 10/814,787

Art Unit: 3751

one of the open channels includes a plurality of flexible projections extending into the open channel from each of the inner surfaces of the sidewalls of the open channel.

All functional statements of the intended use have been carefully considered but deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over the connector of WO 01/17411 which is capable of allowing the first and second segments to move repeatedly in a second opposite sense of swiveling away from the 1800 degrees linear alignment and away from abutting surfaces to form a reflex angle for accordion-like folding, storage and transportation.

Regarding claim 2, the middle segment is made of flexible polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and the end segments are made of semi-rigid PVC.

Regarding claim 3, the middle segment is made of flexible polypropylene and end segments are made of semi-rigid polypropylene.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tavivian (WO 01/17411 A1).

Although Tavivian reference does not specifically disclose the operating life of the middle segment in excess of 10,000 bend cycles, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a middle Art Unit: 3751

segment having operating bend cycles to optimize its life and performance, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ (CCPA 1980).

6. Claims 6 and 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tavivian (WO 01/17411 A1).

Although the Tavivian reference does not explicitly disclose the thickness, the width and the length of the middle segment, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a thickness within a certain range to best fit a particular (lipstick tube) design and to optimize the performance. See In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233, using the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

7. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tavivian (WO 01/17411 A1).

Although Tavivian reference does not specifically disclose the tensile strength, elongation property and brittle point of the middle segment, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a middle segment having a certain properties to optimize its performance, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ (CCPA 1980).

Application/Control Number: 10/814,787 Page 5

Art Unit: 3751

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

9. Claim 12 is allowed.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Carmichael, Stoffle et al, Francis, Jr. et al, Woodland Holbolt et al and Stevens, Jr. references show connectors for panels.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huyen Le whose telephone number is 703-306-5504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on 703-308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

Ruger Le Huyen Le

Examiner

Art Unit 3751

HL

October 18, 2004